(2—199.)

Record. 117. 1891. Intf. Page, 191

Interference.

"S"

No. 14,858

Thos. A. Edison
and
John F. OTT
Sr No. 376,043

vs

William S. Burnett
Jr No. 362,284

vs

John F. OTT.
Sr No. 352,972

Coin Operated Phonograph.

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

Nov. 21-90. Declaration. Ptf's appearing Jan. 6-91.

Dec 11th " " Statement of Burnett.

" " " " Letter to "

Jan 6th " 1891. Statement of Ott.

" " " " Letter to "

March 3rd " Request of Primary Examiner for Suspension under rule 12½

" 5 " Interference suspended.

" 26 " Re-declaration. Preliminary hearing May 18-91.

May 15. 91 ~~Ptf's Stipulation~~ to extend time for filing Statements

" 16 " Preliminary hearing set for June 1/91.

June 1st " Statement of Edison & Ott,

" " " " Letter to " " "

Sept. 1 " " Letter to Burnett extending preliminary hearing to Oct. 1-91.

" " " " " Ott " " " "

" 3 " " Judgment against Edison & Ott. Limit of appeal Sept 24-91

" 10th " Amended Statement of Burnett,

" " " " Letter to "

" 30th " Amended Statement of Ott,

" " " " Letter to "

Dec. 23-91. Decided in favor Ott. Limit Jan. 13-92.

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

Nov. 21-90

1891.

ATTORNEYS.

Wm. G. Burnett
& H. G. Underwood,
66 Wisconsin St.
Milwaukee, Wis.

John D. Cett
& Dyer & Seely.
#49 Wall St.
N. Y. City.

Edison v. Cett
& Dyer & Seely,
N. Y. City.

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

(2–070.)

INDEX.

INTERFERENCE.

No. 14,858.

Edison & Ott

v.

Burnett

:

v.

Ott.

SUBJECT-MATTER:

Phonograph

12710—3 m

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

Intf. No. 14,858 Paper No. 20.

Burnett v. Ott

Decision of Priority in favor of Ott.

Dec. 23/91.

Recorded Vol 38 p 248

Room No. 41.

42-069 c.

All communications should be addressed to "The Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D. C."

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR,

LD
DEC 23 1891
U. S. PATENT OFFICE.

United States Patent Office,

Washington, D. C., Dec. 23, 1891.

IN RE INTERFERENCE

Burnett

v.

Ott

Interference No. 14,858.

Before the Examiner of Interferences.

Subject-matter: Coin Operated Phonograph.

The preliminary statement filed by Burnett the junior party, failing to overcome the prima facie case made against him by the respective dates of filing applications, judgment on the record of priority of invention is accordingly hereby rendered in favor of John F. Ott, the senior party herein.

in accordance with the provisions of Rule 117.

Limit of appeal will expire January 13, 1892.

C. F. C.

Wm. Johnson

Examiner of Interferences.

(4683 b—4 m

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library